



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,330	04/16/2004	Michael Movalli	6555-0001-01	7897
22852 7	7590 03/13/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			WINTER, JOHN M	
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/825,330	MOVALLI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John M. Winter	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSIDER IN THE MAILING DEPARTMENT OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the control				
Status							
1)[[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	anuary 2006					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
′==	·—						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	,					
·							
	Claim(s) 32-56 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	6) Claim(s) 32-56 is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	,	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	•	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 32-56 remain pending.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on January 25,2006 have been fully considered. The examiner submits that claimed iunvention is rejected in view of newly discovered reference Mimata (US Patent 6,070,146).

See following rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32, 37-40, 45-48 and 53-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pare Jr. et al. (US Patent 5,870,723) in view of Griffith et al (US Patent No 4,825,050).

As per claim 32

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses a secure endorsed transaction system, comprising an encoder that generates a unique code from input data comprising transaction data and a human identifier that uniquely identifies a human being, (Column 23 lines 60-67, Column 24, lines 1-17 [The BIA sends transaction information with a biometric PIN code])

a formatter that formats a secure endorsed transaction using the digital signature and the input data, and a verifier that verifies integrity of the secure endorsed transaction by, as a function of the secure endorsed transaction, comparing a stored unique code derived by decrypting the digital signature using the second key with a computed unique code derived from the human identifier and the transaction data. (Column 23 lines 60-67, Column 24, lines 1-17 [The BIA sends transaction information with a biometric PIN code, used to verify the transaction], also Figure 11)

Art Unit: 3621

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose a digital signature processor that generates a digital signature by encrypting the unique code using a first key of an asymmetrical key pair that includes the first key and a corresponding second key

. Griffith et al ('050) discloses a digital signature processor that generates a digital signature by encrypting the unique code using a first key of an asymmetrical key pair that includes the first key and a corresponding second key. (Figure 1[first encoder, second encoder etc..]) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Griffith et al ('050) method in order to promote data security.

Claims 40 and 48 are in parallel with claim 32 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 37,

Pare Jr et al discloses the system of claim 32, further comprising:

a biometric input device for receiving signals representing the human identifier that uniquely identifies a human being. (Column 9 lines 62-65)

Claims 45 and 53 are in parallel with claim 37 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 38,

Pare Jr et al discloses the system of claim 32, further comprising: means for receiving at least one of the first key and the second key. (Figure 4)

Claims 46 and 54 are in parallel with claim 38 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 39,

Pare Jr et al discloses the system of claim 38, wherein the second key is received from a source external to the system. (Figure 4)

Claims 47 and 55 are in parallel with claim 38 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 56,

Pare Jr et al discloses the system of claim 55,

wherein the second key is used by the verifying means to derive the computed unique code. (Figure 4)

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 33 –36, 41-44 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pare Jr. et al. (US Patent 5,870,723) in view of Griffith et al (US Patent No 4,825,050) and further in view of Mimata (US Patent 6,070,146).

As per claim 33

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses the system of claim 32,

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose a smart card input/output device for reading and/or writing data representing secure endorsed transactions from and/or to smart cards.

Mimata ('146) discloses a smart card input/output device for reading and/or writing data representing secure endorsed transactions from and/or to smart cards. (Figure 3)

It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Mimata method in order to promote data security with portable devices.

Claims 41 and 49 are in parallel with claim 33 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 34

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses the system of claim 33,

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose means for receiving signals from the smart card device indicating the insertion of a smart card. Mimata ('146) discloses means for receiving signals from the smart card device indicating the insertion of a smart card (Figure 5) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Mimata method in order to promote data security with portable devices.

Claims 42 and 50 are in parallel with claim 34 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 35

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses the system of claim 33,

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose the smart card

input/output device includes means for acquiring card data from a smart card for inclusion in a secure endorsed transaction Mimata ('146) discloses the smart card

input/output device includes means for acquiring card data from a smart card for inclusion in a secure endorsed transaction (Figure 5) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Mimata method in order to promote data security with portable devices.

Claims 43 and 51 are in parallel with claim 35 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 36

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) discloses the system of claim 33,

Application/Control Number: 10/825,330

Art Unit: 3621

Pare Jr. et al. ('723) does not explicitly disclose the smart card input/output device includes means for dispatching data representing a secure endorsed transaction to a smart card Mimata ('146) discloses the smart card input/output device includes means for dispatching data representing a secure endorsed transaction to a smart card (Column 10 lines 44-59) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('723) method with the Mimata method in order to promote data security with portable devices.

Claims 44 and 52 are in parallel with claim 35 and are rejected for at least the same reasons

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached at (571) 272-6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the Knox Building, 50 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA.

JMW March 6, 2006

SUMMEDIA PATENT ENGLISH SOOD